

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,001	07/24/2003	Thomas Francis Spain	SPAIN1	9923
37338	7590 12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS F. SPAIN 628 COUNTY ROUTE 401, P.O. BOX 72			LE, HUNG CHARLIE	
), NY 12193	X 72	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mai	I Date 111405
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) D Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTG:	O-152)
Attachment(s)			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies	not received.	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority documen	te have been received		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form P	ГО-152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)		bjected to by the Examiner.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
Application Papers			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 23</u> is/are rejected.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iwn from consideration		
4) Claim(s) 1 - 23 is/are pending in the application			
Disposition of Claims			
·	, 		
closed in accordance with the practice under			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 	<i>luly 2003</i> . s action is non-final.		
Status			
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e, cause the application to becor	me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL			0) DAYS,
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ldress
	Hung C. Le	3725	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	10/626,001	SPAIN, THOMAS	FRANCIS
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/626,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if

the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic

document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the bottom edge" in Page 13, Line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the center" in Page 13, Line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the shape" in Page 13, Line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 11, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the bottom" in Page 14, Line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 12, the phrase "predetermined" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 14, the phrase "predetermined" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the identity" in Page 15, Line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the lowest" in Page 15, Line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the leftmost or rightmost opening" in Page 15, Line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the same path" in Page 15, Line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11 – 16, 20 - 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by M. Waranch (3,087,984).

With respect to Claim 1:

- M. Waranch discloses: A guide tool (50) of solid composition (see Fig. 1) for organizing and identifying a plurality or pluralities of wires or cables (18), said guide tool (50) comprising:
- (a) an arch (See Fig 1) at the bottom edge of said guide tool (50);
- (b) a plurality of openings (17 & 19) arranged in a spaced apart curvilinear relationship (see Fig. 1); and
- © a concavity (14) in said solid composition (see Fig. 1),

wherein said arch, said openings (17 & 19), and said concavity (14) are spatially arranged on said solid composition (see Fig. 1) so that a plurality of wires or cables (18)

may be inserted through said openings (17 & 19) and a bundle of wires or cables (18) may simultaneously pass through said arch. (See Fig. 1)

With respect to Claim 2:

M. Waranch further discloses: Openings (17 & 19) are arranged on a curve with its center of curvature substantially in alignment with the center of said arch (See Fig. 1)

With respect to Claim 3:

M. Waranch further discloses: said guide tool (50) is substantially square in shape (See Fig. 1).

With respect to Claim 5:

M. Waranch further discloses: each said opening (17, 19) has a sufficient diameter such that a cable or wire (18) may pass therethrough (See Fig. 1).

With respect to Claim 7:

M. Waranch further discloses: said arch is the shape of a half-circle and is large enough to allow a bundle of wires or cables to pass through (See Fig. 1).

With respect to Claim 11:

M. Waranch further discloses: said solid composition is made of a strong, flexible material (See Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/626,001

Art Unit: 3725

With respect to Claims 12:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

Page 7

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 13:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see

Fig. 1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 14:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 15:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 16:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 20:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 21:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 22:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

With respect to Claim 23:

With regards to the claimed process, the apparatus as claimed by M. Waranch (see Fig.

1) is capable of performing the process as claimed by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6, 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over M. Waranch (3,087.984).

With respect to Claim 4:

Waranch discloses the claimed invention except for the width and length of the guide tool being approximately 4 inches. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize any size of the guide tool, since such a modification would have allowed for a wiring fixture which is economical to manufacture. Furthermore, applicant's specification, Page 7, Paragraph 32, asserts no critically to the claimed limitations.

With respect to Claim 6:

Waranch discloses the claimed invention except for the diameter of the wire openings being .25 inches to .5 inches. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize various diameter ranges for the wire openings, since such a modification would have allowed for a variety of wires to be used (see Waranch, Col. 3, line 61). Furthermore, applicant's states no criticality in specification to diameter range (Page 7, Paragraph 33).

With respect to Claim 8:

Waranch discloses the claimed invention except for the distance between the arch and the wire openings being .75 inches. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize various distance ranges between the arch and the wire openings, since such a modification would have allowed for a variety of wire openings to be used. Furthermore, applicant's states no criticality in specification to the distance (Page 8, Paragraph 34).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 10, 17, 18 & 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 08:00am - 05:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,001 Page 11

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HCL 11/21/05

DERRIS H. BANKS

"NISORY PATENT EXAMINER
"1 OGY CENTER 3700